



From: Adam Larsen, Assistant Superintendent

To: Board of Education

Cc: Thomas Mahoney, Superintendent

Re: May 2021 Board Report

Northwest Evaluation Association (NWEA) Measures of Academic Progress (MAP)

NWEA's Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) test has been used in the school district since the Spring 2008 testing season. This assessment is a form of computer-adaptive testing, where the test taker is presented a series of questions that is tailored to that particular student's academic level. If a student answers a question correctly, the computer will give the student a more difficult question. If the next question is answered incorrectly, the following question will be easier. The number of questions in the test bank is vast, and no two students take the same exact test. This approach offers a number of advantages over traditional testing, including reduced standard error of measurement, less time spent testing, and fewer questions required for each student. Because the assessment is taken on the computer, results are available immediately after a student completes the test. Reports on student progress are available the next day, and growth is tracked over time (season to season and year to year).

In Oregon, the introduction of the MAP assessment has been along the following schedule:

School Year	Grade K	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 9	Grade 10	Grade 11	Grade 12
2007-2008						S	S						
2008-2009						F, S	F, S						
2009-2010				F, W, S	F, S	F, S	F, S	F, S	F, S				
2010-2011			S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, S	F, S	F, S	F, S	F, S	F, S		
2011-2012			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S (SpEd)	F, W, S (SpEd)		
2012-2013			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S (SpEd/ELL)	F, W, S (SpEd/ELL)	F, W, S (SpEd/ELL)	F, W, S (SpEd/ELL)
2013-2014			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S (ELL)	F, W, S (ELL)	F, W, S (ELL)	F, W, S (ELL)
2014-2015			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2015-2016			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2016-2017			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2017-2018			F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2018-2019	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2019-2020	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S				
2020-2021	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F, W, S	F	F		

F=Fall, W=Winter, S=Spring

We added MAP assessments in grades 9 and 10 in the fall but did not administer the tests again this winter or spring. Now that we have SAT assessment data and a semester of student assignment data, we have enough to plan instruction and intervention for the rest of the year.

The Spring 2021 testing window was recently completed, and 1904 individual test events were recorded. Many personnel are involved in the testing window, including principals, teachers, aides, and tech staff, and all deserve recognition for their efforts.


Predicting the 2021 Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR)

NWEA regularly releases updated cutscores that correspond to the state outcome measure that students take in the spring. That assessment is currently known as the Illinois Assessment of Readiness (IAR). While they have not performed a new analysis that correlates MAP scores with the actual IAR assessment, our understanding is that the test is similar enough to the previous assessment (PARCC) that we should use the same cutscores as before. NWEA has updated the linking study to insert IAR language in it, so we will continue to use these cuts until an update is issued.


These cutscores allow school districts to make predictions about which students are expected to meet and not meet expectations when they take the IAR each spring. This analysis is useful both for 1) program evaluation, determining how well the overall curriculum is working to prepare students, and 2) resource allocation, identifying which students need additional support to make the gains they need to close the achievement gap with their peers.

A summary of expected performance in Reading and Mathematics follows. These graphs are used each year to track cohort progress toward the expected goal. By plotting the achievement tests on a consistent scale each term, it allows for easy comparisons to be made after every testing season. On these charts, which will be updated periodically throughout the 2020-2021 school year, predictions of IAR performance based on MAP scores will be plotted alongside actual IAR performance from the same school year.

2021 IAR Reading with Fall, Winter, and Spring Predictions from MAP




2021 IAR Mathematics with Fall, Winter, and Spring Predictions from MAP



Students Tested by Grade

While many students are still learning remotely at the end of the 2020-2021 school year, our buildings attempted to bring as many of those students in for assessment as possible. This was met with mixed success. In particular, some of our younger grades saw fewer of our students come into school to be assessed. While those grades also have fewer students on campus, the junior high only has about 85% of students coming to school daily but were able to test about 96% of total students.

A graphical summary of enrollment and test/no test follows.



Respectfully Submitted,

Adam P. Larsen
Assistant Superintendent
Oregon CUSD #220